arXiv:1606.08773v1 [math.CA] 28 Jun 2016

Measure Algebras on Homogeneous Spaces

Hossein Javanshiri and Narguess Tavallaei

June 29, 2016

Abstract

For a locally compact group G and a compact subgroup H, we show that the Banach space $M(G/H)$ may be considered as a quotient space of $M(G)$. Also, we define a convolution on $M(G/H)$ which makes it into a Banach algebra. It may be identified with a closed subalgebra of the involutive Banach algebra $M(G)$, and there is no involution on $M(G/H)$ compatible with this identification unless H is a normal subgroup of G. In other words, $M(G/H)$ is a $*$-Banach subalgebra of $M(G)$ only if H is a normal subgroup of G. As well, it is a unital Banach algebra just when H is a normal subgroup. Furthermore, when G/H is attached to a strongly quasi-invariant measure, $L^1(G/H)$ is a Banach subspace of $M(G/H)$. Using the restriction of the convolution on $M(G/H)$, we obtain a Banach algebra $L^1(G/H)$, which may be considered as a Banach subalgebra of $L^1(G)$, with a right approximate identity. It has no involution and no left approximate identity except for a normal subgroup H. Consequently, the Banach algebra $L^1(G/H)$ is amenable if and only if H is a normal subgroup and G is amenable.

1 Preliminaries

For a locally compact Hausdorff space X, we denote the space of all continuous complex-valued functions on X that vanishes at infinity by $C_0(X)$, the subspace of $C_0(X)$ consists of all functions with compact supports by $C_c(X)$, and the Banach space of all complex regular Borel measure on X by $M(X)$. When μ is a Radon measure on X, for all $1 \leq p < +\infty$, $(L^p(X,\mu), \|.\|_p)$ stands for the Banach space of the equivalence classes of all μ-measurable complex-valued functions on X whose pth powers are integrable with

$$\|f\|_p = (\int_X |f(x)|^p \, d\mu(x))^{1/p} \quad (f \in L^p(X,\mu)).$$

Also, by $(L^\infty(X,\mu), \|.\|_\infty)$ we mean the Banach space of all equivalence classes of locally essentially bounded μ-measurable complex-valued functions on X with the usual norm $\|.\|_\infty$. When ν

[2] *Keywords.* Homogeneous space, strongly quasi–invariant measure, Banach algebra, measure algebra, involution, bounded approximate identity.

is a Radon measure on X and $f \in L^1(X,\nu)$, we mean by ν_f the Radon measure on X satisfying $d\nu_f(x) = f(x)\, d\nu(x)$.

Throughout, we suppose that G is a locally compact group with neutral element e, left Haar measure λ_G, and modular function Δ_G. Also, H is a closed subgroup of G with left Haar measure λ_H and modular function Δ_H and $q : G \longrightarrow G/H$ is the canonical projection. The quotient space G/H is considered as a homogeneous space that G acts on it from the left, by $x(yH) = (xy)H$.
When μ is a Radon measure on G/H, for all $x \in G$, the translation μ_x of μ is defined by $\mu_x(E) = \mu(xE)$, where E is a Borel subset of G/H. A measure μ is said to be *G-invariant* if $\mu_x = \mu$ for all $x \in G$, and is said to be *strongly quasi–invariant* provided that a continuous function $\lambda : G \times G/H \longrightarrow (0, +\infty)$ exists which satisfies

$$d\mu_x(yH) = \lambda(x, yH)\, d\mu(yH) \quad (x, y \in G).$$

Also, a rho-function for the pair (G, H) is a continuous function $\rho : G \longrightarrow (0, +\infty)$ with

$$\rho(x\xi) = \frac{\Delta_H(\xi)}{\Delta_G(\xi)}\, \rho(x) \quad (x \in G,\ \xi \in H).$$

We should mention that (G, H) always admits a rho-function and for a given rho-function ρ, there exists a strongly quasi–invariant measure μ on G/H such that the Weil's formula holds:

$$\int_G f(x)\, \rho(x)\, d\lambda_G(x) = \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x\xi)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\mu(xH) \quad (f \in C_c(G)). \tag{1.1}$$

In addition, all strongly quasi–invariant measures on G/H arise from rho-functions as (1.1), and all these measures are strongly equivalent. Specially, if μ is a strongly quasi–invariant measure, then so are its translations and

$$\frac{d\mu_x}{d\mu}(yH) \quad = \quad \frac{\rho(xy)}{\rho(y)} \quad (x, y \in G).$$

(For details see [2, Subsection 2.6]).

Moreover, if μ is the strongly quasi–invariant measure on G/H which arises from a rho-function ρ and $L^1(G/H) = L^1(G/H, \mu)$, then the mapping $T_\rho : L^1(G) \mapsto L^1(G/H)$ defined by

$$T_\rho f(xH) = \int_H \frac{f(x\xi)}{\rho(x\xi)}\, d\lambda_H(\xi) \quad (\mu - \text{almost all } \ xH \in \frac{G}{H}) \tag{1.2}$$

is a surjective bounded linear map with $\|T_\rho\| \leq 1$ and

$$\int_{G/H} T_\rho f(xH)\, d\mu(xH) = \int_G f(x)\, d\lambda_G(x) \quad (f \in L^1(G)).$$

More precisely, for all $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$ there exists some $f \in L^1(G)$ such that $\varphi = T_\rho f$ and such that $f \geq 0$ almost everywhere if $\varphi \geq 0$ μ-almost everywhere. Also,

$$\|\varphi\|_1 = \inf\{\|f\|_1 : f \in L^1(G),\, \varphi = T_\rho f\} \tag{1.3}$$

for all $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$ and

$$\|\varphi\|_1 = \inf\{\|f\|_1 : f \in C_c(G),\, \varphi = T_\rho f\}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\varphi \in C_c(G/H)$. It follows that the Banach space $L^1(G/H)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the quotient space $L^1(G)/Ker(T_\rho)$ equipped with the usual quotient norm (For more details see [4, Subsection 3.4]). Obviously, the function T_ρ is dependent on the choice ρ and when there is no ambition we use notation T instead of T_ρ.

When H is a closed subgroup of G and μ is a strongly quasi-invariant Radon measure on G/H, we may define the left translation of some $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$, by an element x of G, by $\mathcal{L}_x\varphi = T(L_x f)$, where $f \in L^1(G)$ and $\varphi = Tf$. In other words, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_x\varphi(yH) = \lambda(x^{-1}, yH)\,\varphi(x^{-1}yH) \quad (\mu - \text{almost all} \;\; yH \in G/H) \tag{1.5}$$

Also, for all $\varphi \in L^\infty(G/H)$ we may define

$$\mathcal{L}_x\varphi(yH) = \varphi(x^{-1}yH) \quad (\mu - \text{locally almost all} \;\; yH \in G/H) \tag{1.6}$$

A function φ on G/H is said to be left uniformly continuous if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood U of e such that for all $x \in U$ and $y \in G$, we have $|\varphi(xyH) - \varphi(yH)| < \varepsilon$. We denote the set of all bounded left uniformly continuous functions of G/H by $LUC(G/H, G)$. Therefore, we get $C_0(G/H) \subseteq LUC(G/H, G)$. Moreover, the homomorphism $G \to B(L^1(G))$, $x \mapsto \mathcal{L}_x$, is continuous with respect to the strong operator topology on $B(L^1(G/H))$. Also, for all $\varphi \in L^\infty(G/H)$, the mapping $x \mapsto \mathcal{L}_x\varphi$ is continuous only if φ is left uniformly continuous.

Now, suppose that H is a compact subgroup of G. It is easy to check that for all $\varphi \in C_0(G/H)$, $\varphi oq \in C_0(G)$ and it is constant on the left cosets of H. On the other hand, if $f \in C_0(G)$, then $T_\infty f \in C_0(G/H)$ where $T_\infty f(xH) = \int_H f(x\xi)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)$, $x \in G$. Moreover, $T_\infty : C_0(G) \to C_0(G/H)$, $f \mapsto T_\infty f$ is a norm decreasing linear map between Banach spaces $C_0(G)$ and $C_0(G/H)$. Assume that

$$C_0(G : H) = \{f \in C_0(G) : f(xh) = f(x), x \in G,\, h \in H\}.$$

One may easily check that $C_0(G : H)$ is a Banach subalgebra of $(C_0(G), \|.\|_{\sup})$ and the restriction of T_∞ to $C_0(G : H)$ determines an isometry isomorphism between Banach spaces $C_0(G : H)$ and $C_0(G/H)$. Also, for all $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$ and $x \in G$ we mean by the right translation of φ by x in

$L^1(G/H)$, the element $\mathcal{R}_x\varphi$ of $L^1(G/H)$ which is determined by $\mathcal{R}_x\varphi = T(R_x\varphi_\rho)$. Likewise, for all $\varphi \in L^\infty(G/H)$ and $x \in G$ we define $\mathcal{R}_x\varphi \in L^\infty(G/H)$ by

$$\mathcal{R}_x\varphi(yH) = \int_H \varphi(y\xi xH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi) \quad (\mu - \text{locally almost all } \ yH \in G/H). \tag{1.7}$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_x : L^1(G/H) \to L^1(G/H)$, $\varphi \mapsto \mathcal{R}_x\varphi$ is a bounded linear operator whose adjoint is $\Delta_G(x)^{-1}\,\mathcal{R}_{x^{-1}} : L^\infty(G/H) \to L^\infty(G/H)$. Moreover, the mapping $G \to B(L^1(G))$, $x \mapsto \mathcal{R}_x$ is a continuous homomorphism with respect to the strong operator topology on $B(L^1(G/H))$. But the mapping $\mathcal{R} : G \to L^\infty(G)$, $x \mapsto \mathcal{R}_x\varphi$ is not necessarily continuous where $\varphi \in L^\infty(G/H)$. We mean by a bounded right uniformly continuous function on G/H, a bounded continuous function for which the mapping $G \to L^\infty(G/H)$, $x \mapsto \mathcal{R}_x\varphi$ is continuous. We denote the set of all bounded right uniformly continuous function G/H by $RUC(G/H, G)$. Then $RUC(G/H, G)$ is a Banach subspace of $C_b(G/H)$, with resect to $\|.\|_{\sup}$, containing $C_0(G/H)$.

2 $M(G/H)$ as a Banach Left $M(G)$-Module

Reiter and Stegeman have remarked in [4] that for all closed subgroups H of G, one may define a norm decreasing linear map as follow:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{T} : M(G) \to M(G/H) \\ \tilde{T}m(E) = m(q^{-1}(E)) \end{cases}$$

for all Borel subsets E of G/H and $m \in M(G)$. In other words,

$$\int_{G/H} \varphi(xH)\, d\tilde{T}m(xH) = \int_G \varphi(xH)\, dm(x) \quad (\varphi \in C_0(G/H)) \tag{2.1}$$

Becides, when μ is a strongly quasi-invariant measure on G/H, $\tilde{T}$ is an extension of $T : L^1(G) \to L^1(G/H, \mu)$ defined by (1.2) (For details see [4, Subsection 3.4]). Therefore, by using the kernel of $\tilde{T}$, one may consider $M(G/H)$ as a quotient of the Banach space $M(G)$.

Example 2.1. Let G be a locally compact group.

(a) We know that $\lambda_G \in M(G)$ just when G is compact. In this case, every closed subgroup H of G is compact and has a normalized Haar measure λ_H. If μ is the invariant measure on G/H arising from the constant rho-function $\rho = 1$, then $\tilde{T}\lambda_G = \mu$.

(b) For all $x \in G$, $\tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \delta_{xH}$.

(c) Let μ be a strongly quasi–invariant measure on G/H. For all $f \in L^1(G)$, we have $\tilde{T}\lambda_f = \mu_{Tf}$.

Remark 2.2. Part **(c)** of Example 2.1 states that when m is absolutely continuous with respect to the left Haar measure λ on G and $dm(x) = f(x)\, d\lambda_G(x)$, $f \in L^1(G)$, then $\tilde{T}m$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and $d\tilde{T}m(xH) = Tf(xH)\, d\mu(xH)$. Generally, we can say the transform $\tilde{T} : M(G) \to M(G/H)$ preserves absolute continuity, i.e.; if $m_1, m_2 \in M(G)$ are such that $m_1 \ll m_2$, then $\tilde{T}m_1 \ll \tilde{T}m_2$. Since if E is a Borel subset of G/H and $\tilde{T}m_2(E) = 0$, then $m_2(q^{-1}(E)) = \tilde{T}m_2(E) = 0$ and so $\tilde{T}m_1(E) = m_1(q^{-1}(E)) = 0$.

Using the left action of G on G/H, we may define a left action of $M(G)$ on $M(G/H)$ as follows:
For all $m \in M(G)$ and $\nu \in M(G/H)$ define $m \star \nu \in M(G/H)$ by

$$m \star \nu(\varphi) = \int_{G/H} \int_G \varphi(yxH)\, dm(y)\, d\nu(xH) \quad (\varphi \in C_0(\frac{G}{H})) \tag{2.2}$$

This action makes $M(G/H)$ into a Banach left $M(G)$-module.

From another point of view, this action obtained by a transferring of the convolution of $M(G)$ via $\tilde{T}$. In details, for all $m \in M(G)$ and $\nu \in M(G/H)$, $m \star \nu = \tilde{T}(m * m_0)$, where $m_0 \in M(G)$ is such that $\nu = \tilde{T}(m_0)$. To show this, we need the following proposition and the obvious fact that $\tilde{T}(m * m_0)$ is independent of the choice m_0 with $\tilde{T}(m_0) = \nu$.

Proposition 2.3. *For all $m_1, m_2 \in M(G)$, $\tilde{T}(m_1 * m_2) = m_1 \star \tilde{T}m_2$.*

Proof. For all $m_1, m_2 \in M(G)$ and $\varphi \in C_0(G/H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{G/H} \varphi(xH)\, d\tilde{T}(m_1 * m_2)(xH) &= \int_G \varphi(xH)\, d(m_1 * m_2)(x) \\
&= \int_G \int_G \varphi(xyH)\, dm_1(x)\, dm_2(y) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \int_G \varphi(xyH)\, dm_1(x)\, d(\tilde{T}m_2)(yH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH)\, d(m_1 \star \tilde{T}m_2)(xH),
\end{aligned}$$

for μ-almost all $yH \in G/H$. □

3 $M(G/H)$ as a Closed Subspace of $M(G)$

From now on, we suppose that H is a compact subgroup of G. We aim to prove that, in this case, $M(G/H)$ is isometrically isomorphic to a specific closed subspace of $M(G)$. Besides, it is identified with the dual of a closed subalgebra of $C_0(G)$.

Recall that by taking some $x \in G$ and $f \in C_0(G)$, we obtain two functions f_x, ${}_xf \in C_0(G)$, defined by $f_x(y) = f(yx)$ and ${}_xf(y) = f(xy)$, and the mappings follow are separately continuous:

$$\begin{cases} G \times C_0(G) \to C_0(G) \\ (x, f) \mapsto f_x \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} G \times C_0(G) \to C_0(G) \\ (x, f) \mapsto {}_xf \end{cases}$$

where $C_0(G)$ has been attached to $\|.\|_{\sup}$. We first show that for all $x \in G$ and $\varphi \in C_0(G/h)$, there are two functions φ_{xH}, ${}_{xH}\varphi \in C_0(G)$ with the similar properties.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $\varphi \in C_0(G/H)$ and $x \in G$, the functions defined as follow belong to $C_0(G/H)$:*

$$\begin{cases} {}_{xH}\varphi : G/H \to \mathbb{C} \\ yH \mapsto \int_H \varphi(x\xi yH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi) \end{cases} \quad \textit{and} \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_{xH} : G/H \to \mathbb{C} \\ yH \mapsto \mathcal{R}_x\varphi(yH) = \int_H \varphi(y\xi xH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi) \end{cases}$$

Proof. As $\varphi oq \in C_0(G)$ is uniformly continuous, for given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a symmetric neighborhood U of e such that $|\varphi oq(y) - \varphi oq(z)| < \varepsilon$, where $y, z \in G$ and $yz^{-1} \in U$ or $y^{-1}z \in U$. Now, if $y, z \in G$ with $y^{-1}z \in U$, then for all $\xi \in H$ we have $(x\xi y)^{-1}(x\xi z) = y^{-1}z \in U$ and hence

$$|{}_{xH}\varphi(yH) - {}_{xH}\varphi(zH)| \leq \int_H |(\varphi oq)(x\xi y) - (\varphi oq)(x\xi z)|\, d\lambda_H(\xi) < \varepsilon.$$

If $zy^{-1} \in U$, then $|\varphi_{xH}(yH) - \varphi_{xH}(zH)| < \varepsilon$. Therefore, the mappings $y \mapsto \int_H \varphi(x\xi yH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)$ and $y \mapsto \int_H \varphi(y\xi xH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)$ are continuous. This implies that the mappings ${}_{xH}\varphi : yH \mapsto \int_H \varphi(x\xi yH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)$ and $\varphi_{xH} : yH \mapsto \int_H \varphi(y\xi xH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)$ are continuous.
Moreover, for given $\varepsilon > 0$, take a compact subset K of G for which $|\varphi(zH)| < \varepsilon$ if $zH \notin q(K)$. Then trivially, $Hx^{-1}K$ is a compact subset of G and if $yH \notin q(Hx^{-1}K)$, then $x\xi yH \notin q(K)$ and hence $|\varphi(x\xi yH)| < \varepsilon$ for all $\xi \in H$. This shows that ${}_{xH}\varphi \in C_0(G/H)$. Similarly, $\varphi_{xH} \in C_0(G/H)$. □

Definition 3.2. For all $x \in G$ define

$$\begin{cases} LT_{xH} : C_0(G/H) \to C_0(G/H) \\ \varphi \mapsto {}_{xH}\varphi \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} RT_{xH} : C_0(G/H) \to C_0(G/H) \\ \varphi \mapsto \varphi_{xH} \end{cases}$$

For all $\nu \in M(G/H)$ define

$$\begin{cases} S_\nu : C_0(G) \to \mathbb{C} \\ f \mapsto \int_{G/H} T_\infty f(xH)\, d\nu(xH) \end{cases}$$

Clearly, S_ν is a bounded linear functional on $C_0(G)$ with $\|S\| \leq 1$. Therefore, we can assign to each $\nu \in M(G/H)$ an element $m_\nu \in M(G)$ such that

$$\int_G f(x)\, dm_\nu(x) = \int_{G/H} T_\infty f(xH)\, d\nu(xH) = \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x\xi)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\nu(xH) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $f \in C_0(G)$. We should note that if for a given $\nu \in M(G/H)$ we take the element $m_\nu \in M(G)$ and then return to $M(G/H)$ by using $\tilde{T}$, we obtain the same measure ν, i.e.;

$$\tilde{T}(m_\nu) = \nu \quad (\nu \in M(G/H)). \tag{3.2}$$

Equation (3.2) shows that $\|\nu\| = \|\tilde{T}(m_\nu)\| \leq \|m_\nu\| \leq \|\nu\|$. Consequently,

$$\|\nu\| = \|m_\nu\| \quad (\nu \in M(G/H)) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\|\nu\| = \min\{\|m\| : m \in M(G),\, \nu = \tilde{T}(m)\} \quad (\nu \in M(G/H)). \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.3. *For a measure $m \in M(G)$, the following are equivalent:*

(a) $m = m_{\tilde{T}m}$.

(b) $m = m_\nu$ *for some* $\nu \in M(G/H)$.

(c) $m(Ah) = m(A)$, *where A is a Borel subset of G and $h \in H$.*

(d) $m(f_h) = m(f)$, *where $f \in C_0(G)$ and $h \in H$.*

Proof. **(b $\Rightarrow$ a)** If $m = m_\nu$ for some $\nu \in M(G/H)$, then $\tilde{T}m = \tilde{T}(m_\nu) = \nu$ and so $m = m_{\tilde{T}m}$.
(a $\Rightarrow$ c) For all Borel subset A of G and $h \in H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
m(Ah) &= m_{\tilde{T}m}(Ah) \\
&= \int_G \chi_{Ah}(x)\, dm_{\tilde{T}m}(x) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \int_H \chi_{Ah}(x\xi)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\tilde{T}m(xH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \int_H \chi_A(x\xi)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\tilde{T}m(xH) \\
&= m_{\tilde{T}m}(A) \\
&= m(A),
\end{aligned}$$

(c $\Rightarrow$ a) Suppose that $m \in M(G)$ satisfies (c). Then for all Borel subset A of G we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{\tilde{T}m}(A) &= \int_G \chi_A(x)\, dm_{\tilde{T}m}(x) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \int_H \chi_A(x\xi)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\tilde{T}m(xH) \\
&= \int_G \int_H \chi_A(x\xi)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, dm(xH) \\
&= \int_H \int_G \chi_A(x\xi)\, dm(xH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi) \\
&= \int_H m(A\xi^{-1})\, d\lambda_H(\xi) \\
&= m(A),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $m = m_{\tilde{T}m}$. □

We denote the set of all measures $m \in M(G/H)$ satisfying equivalent conditions in Lemma 3.3 by $M(G : H)$. That is

$$\begin{aligned} M(G:H) &= \{m_\nu : \nu \in M(G/H)\} \\ &= \{m : m(Ah) = m(A),\ A \text{ is a Borel subset of } G,\ h \in H\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proposition 3.4. *$M(G : H)$ is a Banach subalgebra and also a left ideal of $M(G)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $M(G : H)$ is a subspace of $M(G)$. Now, if $m \in M(G : H)$ and $n \in M(G)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (n * m)(Ah) &= \int_G m(x^{-1}Ah)\, dn(x) \\ &= \int_G m(x^{-1}A)\, dn(x) \\ &= (n * m)(A), \end{aligned}$$

where A is a Bore subset of G and $h \in H$. Hence, $M(G : H)$ is a left ideal of $M(G)$. Also, if $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I} \subseteq M(G : H)$ tends to some $m \in M(G)$, then for all $f \in C_0(G)$ and $h \in H$ we have

$$m(f_h) = \lim_\alpha m_\alpha(f_h) = \lim_\alpha m_\alpha(f) = m(f),$$

which shows that $m \in M(G : H)$. □

Equations (3.2) and (3.3) show that the restriction

$$\begin{cases} M(G:H) \to M(G/H) \\ \qquad m \to \tilde{T}m \end{cases}$$

of $\tilde{T}$ is an isometry isomorphism of Banach spaces. Therefore, $M(G/H)$ has been identified with the closed subspace $M(G : H)$ of $M(G)$.

It is worthwhile to mention that $M(G : H) \cong C_0(G : H)^*$. In details, by considering the canonical isomorphisms $M(G) \to C_0(G)^*$ and $M(G/H) \to C_0(G/H)^*$, and the isomorphism $T_\infty|_{C_0(G:H)} : C_0(G : H) \to C_0(G/H)$, the mapping

$$\begin{cases} U : M(G:H) \to C_0(G:H)^* \\ \qquad m_\nu \mapsto m_\nu|_{C_0(G:H)} \end{cases}$$

is an isometry isomorphism and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(G:H) & \xrightarrow{U} & C_0(G:H)^* \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ M(G/H) & \longrightarrow & C_0(G/H)^* \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.5. *An element $f \in L^1(G)$ belongs to $L^1(G:H)$ if and only if $\lambda_f \in M(G:H)$, i.e.;*

$$L^1(G:H) = \{f \in L^1(G) :\ \lambda_f \in M(G:H)\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. For all $f \in L^1(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_A (R_h f - f)(x)\, d\lambda_G(x) &= \int_G \chi_A(x)\,(R_h f(x) - f(x))\, d\lambda_G(x) \\
&= \int_G \chi_A(x)\, f(xh)\, d\lambda_G(x) - \int_G \chi_A(x)\, f(x)\, d\lambda_G(x) \\
&= \int_G \chi_{Ah^{-1}}(x)\, f(x)\, d\lambda_G(x) - \int_G \chi_A(x)\, f(x)\, d\lambda_G(x) \\
&= \lambda_f(Ah^{-1}) - \lambda_f(A),
\end{aligned}$$

where $h \in H$ and A is a Borel subset of G. This shows that $\lambda_f \in M(G:H)$ just when $R_h f = f$ in $L^1(G)$ for all $h \in H$. □

Example 3.6. When $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$ we have $\varphi_\rho = \varphi o q\,.\,\rho \in L^1(G:H)$ (cf. [3]). So λ_{φ_ρ} must be in $M(G:H)$. In fact, $\lambda_{\varphi_\rho} = m_{\mu_\varphi}$. Because,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\varphi_\rho}(g) &= \int_G \varphi_\rho(x)\, g(x)\, d\lambda_G(x) \\
&= \int_{G/H} T(\varphi o q\, \rho\, g)(xH)\, d\mu(xH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi(xH)\, g(x\xi)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\mu(xH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \int_H g(x\xi)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\mu_\varphi(xH) \\
&= m_{\mu_\varphi}(g),
\end{aligned}$$

where $g \in C_0(G)$. Thus, by considering the canonical embeddings $L^1(G) \to M(G)$ and $L^1(G/H) \to M(G/H)$ we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
L^1(G:H) & \xrightarrow{\ T\ } & L^1(G/H) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M(G:H) & \xrightarrow[\ \tilde{T}\ ]{} & M(G/H)
\end{array}$$

4 $M(G/H)$ as a Closed Subalgebra of $M(G)$

In this section, by assuming the compactness of H, we define a convolution on $M(G/H)$ to give it the construction of a Banach algebra. In fact, we will show that $M(G:H)$, the Banach space identified with $M(G/H)$, is a subalgebra of $M(G)$ and so the convolution of $M(G:H)$ induces a convolution on $M(G/H)$. In addition, the obtained Banach algebra $M(G/H)$ has no identity and no involution, where H is a subgroup of G which is not normal.

Lemma 4.1. *For all $\varphi \in C_0(G/H)$ and $\nu \in M(G/H)$, the functions which are defined as follow are continuous functions vanishing at infinity:*

$$\begin{cases} \psi : G/H \to \mathbb{C} \\ yH \mapsto \int_{G/H} \varphi_{yH}(xH)\, d\nu(xH) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \eta : G/H \to \mathbb{C} \\ yH \mapsto \int_{G/H} {}_{yH}\varphi(xH)\, d\nu(xH) \end{cases}$$

Proof. Obviously, the mappings $yH \mapsto {}_{yH}\varphi$ and $yH \mapsto \psi(yH)$ are continuous. Take a compact subset K of G for which $|\varphi(yH)| < \varepsilon$ where $yH \notin q(K)$. Obviously, $E = q(Hx^{-1}K)$ is compact and for all $\xi \in H$,

$$x\xi yH \in q(K) \Leftrightarrow x\xi y \in KH \Leftrightarrow y \in \xi^{-1}x^{-1}KH \Rightarrow y \in Hx^{-1}KH \Leftrightarrow yH \in q(Hx^{-1}K) = E.$$

Thus, if $yH \notin E$, then $x\xi yH \notin q(K)$ and so $|\psi(yH)| < \varepsilon \|\nu\|$. Therefore, $\psi \in C_0(G/H)$.

□

For all $\nu, \omega \in M(G/H)$, define

$$\begin{cases} S : C_0(G/H) \to \mathbb{C} \\ \varphi \to \int_{G/H} \int_{G/H} \varphi_{yH}(xH)\, d\nu(xH)\, d\omega(yH) \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Then S is a bounded linear functional with $\|S\| \leq \|\nu\|\, \|\omega\|$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |S(\varphi)| &= |\int_{G/H} \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi(x\xi yH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\nu(xH)\, d\omega(yH)| \\ &\leq \int_{G/H} \int_{G/H} \int_H |\varphi(x\xi yH)|\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d|\nu|(xH)\, d|\omega|(yH) \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{\sup}\, \|\nu\|\, \|\omega\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0(G/H)$. Hence, S determines an element $\nu * \omega \in M(G/H)$, called the *convolution* of ν and ω, such that for all $\varphi \in C_0(G/H)$, $S(\varphi) = (\nu * \omega)(\varphi)$, i.e.;

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G/H} \varphi(xH)\, d(\nu * \omega)(xH) &= \int_{G/H} \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi(x\xi yH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\nu(xH)\, d\omega(yH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_{G/H} \varphi_{yH}(xH)\, d\nu(xH)\, d\omega(yH) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \int_{G/H} {}_{xH}\varphi(yH)\, d\nu(xH)\, d\omega(yH). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We should remark that the convolution of two measures has a simpler form if one of them is a dirac measure. In details, one may easily check that

(a) $(\nu * \delta_{xH})(\varphi) = \int_{G/H} \varphi_{xH}(yH)\, d\nu(yH)$,

(b) $(\delta_{xH} * \nu)(\varphi) = \int_{G/H} {}_{xH}\varphi(yH)\, d\nu(yH)$,

(c)

$$\delta_{xH} * \delta_{yH} = \int_H \delta_{x\xi yH}\, d\lambda_H(\xi) \quad (x, y \in G) \tag{4.3}$$

where the integral is considered as a vector-valued integral. That is

$$(\delta_{xH} * \delta_{yH})(\varphi) = \int_H \varphi(x\xi yH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi) = {}_{xH}\varphi(yH) = \varphi_{yH}(xH), \tag{4.4}$$

where $x, y \in G$, $\nu \in M(G/H)$, and $\varphi \in C_0(G/H)$.

As $M(G : H)$ is a Banach algebra, the isometry isomorphism of Banach spaces $\tilde{T} : M(G : H) \to M(G/H)$ makes $M(G/H)$ into a Banach algebra. The following lemma shows that the convolution on $M(G/H)$ is the convolution induced by the convolution on $M(G : H)$.

Lemma 4.2. *For all $\nu, \omega \in M(G/H)$,*

(a) *$\nu * \omega = \tilde{T}(m_\nu * m_0)$ where $m_0 \in M(G)$ satisfies $\tilde{T}(m_0) = \omega$. Specially, $\nu * \omega = \tilde{T}(m_\nu * m_\omega)$.*

(b) *$m_{\nu*\omega} = m_\nu * m_\omega$.*

Proof. **(a)** Suppose that $m_0 \in M(G)$ and $\tilde{T}(m_0) = \omega$. Then for all $\varphi \in C_0(G/H)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}(m_\nu * m_0)(\varphi) &= \int_G (\varphi oq)(x)\, d(m_\nu * m_0)(x) \\
&= \int_G \int_G R_y(\varphi oq)(x)\, dm_\nu(x)\, dm_0(y) \\
&= \int_G \int_{G/H} \int_H R_y(\varphi oq)(x\xi)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\nu(xH)\, dm_0(y) \\
&= \int_G \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi(x\xi yH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\nu(xH)\, dm_0(y) \\
&= \int_G \int_{G/H} \varphi_{yH}(xH)\, d\nu(xH)\, dm_0(y) \\
&= \int_G \psi(yH)\, dm_0(y),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi \in C_0(G/H)$ is defined by $\psi(yH) = \int_{G/H} \varphi_{yH}(xH)\, d\nu(xH)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}(m_\nu * m_0)(\varphi) &= \int_{G/H} \psi(yH)\, d(\tilde{T}m_0)(yH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \int_{G/H} \varphi_{yH}(xH)\, d\nu(xH)\, d\omega(yH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \varphi\, d(\nu * \omega)(xH).
\end{aligned}$$

(b) For all $f \in C_0(G)$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_G f(x)\,dm_{\nu*\omega}(x) &= \int_{G/H} T_\infty f(xH)\,d(\nu*\omega)(xH)\\
&= \int_{G/H}\int_{G/H} (T_\infty f)_{yH}(xH)\,d\nu(xH)\,d\omega(yH)\\
&= \int_{G/H}\int_{G/H}\int_H (T_\infty f)(x\xi yH)\,d\lambda_H(\xi)\,d\nu(xH)\,d\omega(yH)\\
&= \int_{G/H}\int_{G/H}\int_H\int_H f(x\xi y\eta)\,d\eta\,d\lambda_H(\xi)\,d\nu(xH)\,d\omega(yH)\\
&= \int_{G/H}\int_H \Big(\int_H\int_{G/H} R_{y\eta}f(x\xi)\,d\lambda_H(\xi)\,d\nu(xH)\Big)\,d\eta\,d\omega(yH)\\
&= \int_G\int_H\int_{G/H} R_y f(x\xi)\,d\lambda_H(\xi)\,d\nu(xH)\,dm_\omega(y)\\
&= \int_G\int_G R_y f(x)\,dm_\nu(x)\,dm_\omega(y)\\
&= \int_G f(x)\,d(m_\nu * m_\omega)(x)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.3. *Let H be a compact subgroup of G. Then $M(G/H)$ with the convolution defined by* (4.2) *is a Banach algebra.*

Proof. For all $\nu,\omega,\kappa\in M(G/H)$, by using Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu*\omega)*\kappa &= \tilde{T}(m_{(\nu*\omega)}*m_\kappa) = \tilde{T}\big((m_\nu*m_\omega)*m_\kappa\big)\\
&= \tilde{T}\big(m_\nu*(m_\omega*m_\kappa)\big) = \tilde{T}(m_\nu*m_{\omega*\kappa}) = \nu*(\omega*\kappa).
\end{aligned}$$

□

We should notice that if H is a normal subgroup of G, then G/H is a locally compact topological group and the convolution on $M(G/H)$ defined by (4.2) coincides with the well-known convolution on the measure algebra of G/H. In this case, $M(G/H)$ is a unital involutive Banach algebra whose identity is δ_{eH}.

When H is a compact subgroup of G, the Banach algebra $M(G/H)$ has a right identity δ_{eH}. To show this, let $\nu\in M(G/H)$. Then for all $\varphi\in C_0(G/H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu*\delta_{eH})(\varphi) &= \int_{G/H}\int_{G/H}\int_H \varphi(x\xi yH)\,d\lambda_H(\xi)\,d\nu(xH)\,d\delta_{eH}(yH)\\
&= \int_{G/H}\int_H \varphi(x\xi eH)\,d\lambda_H(\xi)\,d\nu(xH)\\
&= \int_{G/H}\int_H \varphi(xH)\,d\lambda_H(\xi)\,d\nu(xH)\\
&= \nu(\varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

The following theorem indicates that a necessary and sufficient condition to have an identity in $M(G/H)$ is that H is a normal subgroup.

Proposition 4.4. *In Banach algebra $M(G/H)$, δ_{eH} satisfies $\delta_{eH} * \mu_\varphi = \mu_\varphi$ for all $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$ if and only if H is a normal subgroup of G.*

Proof. Suppose that $H \not\trianglelefteq G$. Then there exists some $x \in G$ and $\xi_0 \in H$ for which $\xi_0 xH \neq xH$. By taking a function $\varphi \in C_c^+(G/H)$ with $\varphi(xH) = 0$ and $\varphi(\xi_0 xH) > 0$, we get a non-negative and non-zero continuous function $H \to \mathbb{C}$, $\xi \mapsto \varphi(\xi xH)$, which implies that ${}_{eH}\varphi = \int_H \varphi(\xi xH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi) > 0$. Therefore,

$$0 = \varphi(xH) \neq {}_{xH}\varphi(xH) = \int_H \varphi(\xi xH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi) > 0$$

On the other hand, $\delta_{eH} * \mu_\varphi = {}_{xH}\varphi$. So $\delta_{xH} * \mu_\varphi \neq \mu_\varphi$ □

Proposition 4.5. *When H is a compact subgroup of G, the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *H is a normal subgroup of G.*

(b) *$M(G/H)$ has an identity.*

(c) *$M(G/H)$ has an approximate identity.*

Proof. We need to prove $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$. If $M(G/H)$ has an approximate identity $\{\nu_\alpha\}$, then for all $\mu \in M(G/H)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{eH} * \mu &= \lim_\alpha (\nu_\alpha * \delta_{eH}) * \mu \\ &= \lim_\alpha \nu_\alpha * \mu \\ &= \mu. \end{aligned}$$

This together with Proposition 4.4 imply that H must be normal. □

Corollary 4.6. *If H is a compact subgroup of G, then $M(G/H)$ is amenable if and only if H is a normal open subgroup of G and G, or equivalently G/H, is amenable.*

We should mention that if A is an involutive Banach algebra with a right (left) identity, then it has an identity. Since if e is a right identity, for all $a \in A$ we have

$$e^* * a = (a^* * e)^* = a$$

which shows that e^* is a left identity and hence $e = e^*$ is the identity of A.

Corollary 4.7. *There is an involution on Banach algebra $M(G/H)$ only if H is a normal subgroup of G.*

5 Banach Subalgebra $L^1(G/H)$ of $M(G/H)$

When H is a compact subgroup of G and G/H has been attached to a strongly quasi-invariant measure μ, then for all $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$, the function defined almost everywhere by $\varphi_\rho(x) = \varphi oq(xH)\,\rho(x)$ belongs to $L^1(G)$, $\varphi = Tf$, and $\|\varphi_\rho\|_1 = \|\varphi\|_1$.

Theorem 5.1. *When G/H has been attached to a strongly quasi-invariant measure μ, $L^1(G/H)$ is an ideal of $M(G/H)$. More precisely, for all $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$ and $\nu \in M(G/H)$,*

$$(\varphi * \nu)(xH) = \int_{G/H} \Delta_G(y^{-1}) \int_H \varphi(x\xi y^{-1}H)\,\frac{\rho(x\xi y^{-1})}{\rho(x)}\,d\lambda_H(\xi)\,d\nu(yH) \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$(\nu * \varphi)(xH) = \int_{G/H} \int_H \varphi(\xi y^{-1}xH)\,\lambda(\xi y^{-1}, xH)\,d\lambda_H(\xi)\,d\nu(yH) \tag{5.2}$$

for μ-almost all $yH \in G/H$.

Proof. First suppose that $\nu, \omega \in M(G/H)$ and $\omega \ll \mu$. Then by taking $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$ with $\omega = \mu_\varphi$, we have $m_\nu * \lambda_{\varphi_\rho} \ll \lambda$ and so $m_\nu * \lambda_{\varphi_\rho} = \lambda_g$ for some $g \in L^1(G)$.

$$\nu * \omega = \nu * \mu_\varphi = \tilde{T}(m_\nu * \lambda_{\varphi_\rho}) = \tilde{T}(\lambda_g) = \mu_{Tg} \ll \mu$$

Also, there exists some $f \in L^1(G)$ such that $\varphi = \mu_{Tf}$. Obviously, $m_\nu * \lambda_f \ll \lambda$ and so $m_\nu * \lambda_f = \lambda_g$ for some $g \in L^1(G)$. Therefore,

$$\nu * \omega = \nu * \tilde{T}\lambda_f = \tilde{T}(m_\nu * \lambda_f) = \tilde{T}(\lambda_g) = \mu_{Tg} \ll \mu.$$

Suppose that $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$ and $\nu \in M(G/H)$. Then for all $\psi \in C_0(G/H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu_\varphi * \nu)(\psi) &= \int_{G/H} \int_{G/H} \psi_{yH}(xH)\,\varphi(xH)\,d\mu(xH)\,d\nu(yH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \langle \mathcal{R}_y\psi, \varphi\rangle\,d\nu(yH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \Delta_G(y^{-1})\,\langle \psi, \mathcal{R}_{y-1}\varphi\rangle\,d\nu(yH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \psi(xH) \int_{G/H} \Delta_G(y^{-1}) \int_H \varphi(x\xi y^{-1}H)\,\frac{\rho(x\xi y^{-1})}{\rho(x)}\,d\lambda_H(\xi)\,d\nu(yH)\,d\mu(xH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \psi(xH)\,\gamma(xH)\,d\mu(xH) \\
&= \mu_\gamma(\psi),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(xH) = \int_{G/H} \Delta_G(y^{-1}) \int_H \varphi(x\xi y^{-1}H)\,d\lambda_H(\xi)\,d\nu(xH)$, for μ-almost all $xH \in G/H$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu * \mu_\varphi)(\psi) &= \int_{G/H}\int_{G/H}\int_H \psi(x\xi yH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\nu(xH)\, \varphi(yH)\, d\mu(yH) \\
&= \int_{G/H}\int_H\int_{G/H} \psi(yH)\, \varphi(\xi^{-1}x^{-1}yH)\, \lambda(\xi^{-1}x^{-1}, yH)\, d\mu(yH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\nu(xH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \psi(yH) \int_{G/H}\int_H \varphi(\xi x^{-1}yH)\, \lambda(\xi x^{-1}, yH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\nu(xH)\, d\mu(yH) \\
&= \int_{G/H} \psi(yH)\, \zeta(yH)\, d\mu(yH) \\
&= \mu_\zeta(\psi),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta(yH) = \int_{G/H}\int_H \varphi(\xi x^{-1}yH)\, \lambda(\xi x^{-1}, yH)\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\nu(xH)$, for μ-almost all $yH \in G/H$. □

By considering $L^1(G/H)$ as a subalgebra of $M(G/H)$, where μ is the strongly quasi-invariant measure arising from a rho-function ρ, we may define a convolution on $L^1(G/H)$ by using the restriction the convolution on $M(G/H)$. It means that, for all $\varphi, \psi \in L^1(G/H)$, $\varphi * \psi$ is the element of $L^1(G/H)$ for which $\mu_\varphi * \mu_\psi = \mu_{\varphi*\psi}$. The following theorem states that how we can obtain the convolution on $L^1(G/H)$ by using the convolution on $L^1(G)$ and it also shows that we can assume $\varphi * \psi$ as a generalized linear combination of the left translations of ψ.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that H is a compact subgroup of G and μ is the strongly quasi-invariant Radon measure on G/H arising from a rho-function ρ. For all $\varphi, \psi \in L^1(G/H)$ define $\varphi * \psi$, the convolution of φ and ψ, by*

$$\varphi * \psi = \int_G \varphi_\rho(y)\, \mathcal{L}_y\psi\, d\lambda_G(y) \tag{5.3}$$

$$= T(\varphi_\rho * \psi_\rho) \quad (\mu - \text{almost all } xH \in \frac{G}{H}) \tag{5.4}$$

where the integrals are considered in the sense of vector-valued integrals. Then, $L^1(G/H)$ is a Banach algebra.

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi, \psi \in L^1(G/H)$. By using (5.1), for μ-almost all $xH \in G/H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T(\varphi_\rho * \psi_\rho)(xH) &= \int_H \frac{(\varphi_\rho * \psi_\rho)}{\rho(x\xi)}\, d\lambda_H(\xi) \\
&= \int_H \int_G \frac{\varphi(yH)\,\rho(y)\,\psi(y^{-1}x\xi H)\,\rho(y^{-1}x\xi)}{\rho(x)}\, d\lambda_G(y)\, d\lambda_H(\xi) \\
&= \int_G \varphi(yH)\,\rho(y) \int_H \frac{\psi(y^{-1}xH)\,\rho(y^{-1}x)}{\rho(x)}\, d\lambda_H(\xi)\, d\lambda_G(y) \\
&= \int_G \varphi(yH)\,\rho(y)\,\psi(y^{-1}xH)\,\lambda(y^{-1}, xH)\, d\lambda_G(y) \\
&= \int_G \varphi(yH)\,\rho(y)\,\mathcal{L}_y\psi(xH)\, d\lambda_G(y) \\
&= \int_G \varphi(xyH)\,\rho(xy)\,\mathcal{L}_{xy}\psi(xH)\, d\lambda_G(y) \\
&= \int_G \varphi(xyH)\,\rho(xy)\,\lambda(y^{-1}x^{-1}, xH)\,\psi(y^{-1}H)\, d\lambda_G(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \int_G \varphi(xyH)\,\rho(xy)\,\rho(y^{-1})\,\psi(y^{-1}H)\, d\lambda_G(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \int_G \varphi(xy^{-1}H)\,\rho(xy^{-1})\,\rho(y)\,\psi(yH)\,\Delta_G(y^{-1})\, d\lambda_G(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \int_{G/H} \Delta_G(y^{-1}) \int_H \varphi(x\xi^{-1}y^{-1}H)\,\rho(x\xi^{-1}y^{-1})\,\psi(y\xi H)\, d\lambda_G(y)\, d\mu(yH) \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \int_{G/H} \Delta_G(y^{-1}) \int_H \varphi(x\xi^{-1}y^{-1}H)\,\rho(x\xi^{-1}y^{-1})\,\psi(yH)\, d\lambda_G(y)\, d\mu(yH) \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \int_{G/H} \Delta_G(y^{-1}) \int_H \varphi(x\xi^{-1}y^{-1}H)\,\rho(x\xi^{-1}y^{-1})\, d\lambda_G(y)\, d\mu_\psi(yH) \\
&= (\varphi * \mu_\psi)(xH) \\
&= (\varphi * \psi)(xH),
\end{aligned}$$

for μ-almost all $xH \in G/H$. The above equations show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi * \psi(xH) &= \int_G \varphi(yH)\,\rho(y)\,\mathcal{L}_y\psi(xH)\, d\lambda_G(y) \\
&= \int_G \varphi_\rho(y)\,\mathcal{L}_y\psi(xH)\, d\lambda_G(y) \\
&= T(\varphi_\rho * \psi_\rho)
\end{aligned}$$

for μ-almost all $xH \in G/H$. □

In other words, $L^1(G:H)$ is a left ideal and a closed subalgebra of $L^1(G)$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let H be a compact subgroup of G and G/H have been attached to a strongly quasi-invariant measure μ. Then*

(a) $L^1(G/H) = \{\nu \in M(G/H) : xH \mapsto |\nu| * \delta_{xH}$ *is weakly continuous*$\}$

(b) $L^1(G/H) \subseteq \{\nu \in M(G/H) : xH \mapsto \delta_{xH} * |\nu| \text{ is weakly continuous}\}$

Proof. First, suppose that $d\nu(xH) = \varphi(xH)\, d\mu(xH)$ for some $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$. Then for all $x, y \in G$ and $\psi \in C_0(G/H)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(|\nu| * \delta_{xH})(\psi) - |\nu| * \delta_{yH})(\psi)| &= |\int_{G/H} \psi_{xH}(zH) - \psi_{yH}(zH)\, d|\nu|(zH)| \\ &\leq \int_{G/H} |\mathcal{R}_x\psi(zH) - \mathcal{R}_y\psi(zH)|\, |\varphi(zH)\, d\mu(zH)| \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{R}_x\psi - \mathcal{R}_y\psi\|_{\sup}\, \|\varphi\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi \in C_0(G/H) \subseteq RUC(G/H)$, $\|\mathcal{R}_x\psi - \mathcal{R}_y\psi\|_{\sup} \to 0$ as $x \to y$. Thus, $|(|\nu| * \delta_{xH})(\psi) - |\nu| * \delta_{yH})(\psi)| \to 0$ as $x \to y$. Similarly, ψ is left uniformly continuous and

$$\begin{aligned} |(\delta_{xH} * |\nu|)(\psi) - \delta_{yH} * |\nu|)(\psi)| &= |\int_{G/H} {}_{xH}\psi(zH) - {}_{yH}\psi(zH)\, d|\nu|(zH)| \\ &\leq \int_{G/H} |\mathcal{L}_x\psi(zH) - \mathcal{L}_y\psi(zH)|\, |\varphi(zH)\, d\mu(zH)| \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{L}_x\psi - \mathcal{L}_y\psi\|_{\sup}\, \|\varphi\|_1 \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $x \to y$.

Now, let $\nu \in M(G/H)$ be such that $xH \mapsto |\nu| * \delta_{xH}$ is weakly continuous. Since $L^1(G/H)$ is an ideal of $M(G/H)$, when $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$, $|\nu| * \mu_\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$. In other words, $|\nu| * \mu_\varphi \ll \mu$. To show that $\nu \ll \mu$, assume that $E \in \mathcal{B}(G/H)$ is null with respect to μ. By taking a neighborhood U of e with compact closure and $\varphi = \chi_{q(U)}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = (|\nu| * \mu_\varphi)(E) &= \int_{G/H} \int_{G/H} (\chi_E)_{yH}(xH)\varphi(yH), d|\nu|(xH)\, d\mu(yH) \\ &= \int_{q(U)} \int_{G/H} (\chi_E)_{yH}(xH)\, d|\nu|(xH)\, d\mu(yH) \\ &= \int_{q(U)} (|\nu| * \delta_{yH})(\chi_E)\, d\mu(yH). \end{aligned}$$

Since, $yH \mapsto (|\nu| * \delta_{yH})(\chi_E)$ is a non-negative and continuous function, we deduce that

$$(|\nu| * \delta_{yH})(\chi_E) = 0 \quad (yH \in q(U)).$$

In particular, by taking $yH = eH$ we get $|\nu|(E) = 0$ and so $\nu(E) = 0$.

□

Theorem 5.4. *$L^1(G/H)$ has a left approximate identity if and only if H is a normal subgroup of G.*

Proof. Let $\{\eta_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I}$ be a left approximate identity for $L^1(G/H)$. Then for all $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$ we have $\eta_\alpha * \varphi \longrightarrow \varphi$ and so $\mu_{\eta_\alpha} * \mu_\varphi \longrightarrow \mu_\varphi$. Therefore,

$$\mu_\varphi = \lim_\alpha \mu_{\eta_\alpha} * \mu_\varphi = \lim_\alpha (\mu_{\eta_\alpha} * \delta_{eH}) * \mu_\varphi = \lim_\alpha \mu_{\eta_\alpha} * (\delta_{eH} * \mu_\varphi) = \delta_{eH} * \mu_\varphi$$

where $\varphi \in L^1(G/H)$, and hence H must be normal in G. □

If A is an involutive Banach algebra with a right approximate identity $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in I}$, then it has a left approximate identity. Since, for all $a \in A$ we have $\lim e_\alpha^* * a = (\lim a^* * e_\alpha)^* = a^{**} = a$.

Corollary 5.5. *There is an involution on Banach algebra $L^1(G/H)$ only if H is a normal subgroup of G.*

Corollary 5.6. *If H is a compact subgroup of G and G/H has been attached to a strongly quasi-invariant measure, then $L^1(G/H)$ is amenable if and only if H is a normal subgroup of G and G, or equivalently G/H, is amenable.*

Corollary 5.7. *Let H be a compact subgroup of G and G/H have been attached to a strongly quasi-invariant measure μ. Then $L^1(G/H) = \{\nu \in M(G/H) : xH \mapsto \delta_{xH} * |\nu|$ is weakly continuous$\}$ just when H is a normal subgroup of G.*

References

[1] W. Arveson, Notes on Measure and Integration in Locally Compact Spaces, unpublished lecture notes, available from www.math.berkeley.edu/ arveson. 8 (1999) 227–235.

[2] G.B. Folland (1995) *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, CRC Press, Boca Raton.

[3] N. Tavallaei, M. Ramezanpour, and b. Olfatian Gilan, *Structural transition between $L^p(G)$ and $L^p(G/H)$*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 9 (2015), no. 3, 194–205.

[4] H. Reiter and J.D. Stegeman (2000) *Classical Harmonic Analysis*, 2nd Ed., Oxford University Press, New York.

Hossein Javanshiri

Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran.

h.javanshiri@yazd.ac.ir

Narguess Tavallaei

Department of Mathematics, School of Mathematics and Computer Science,

Damghan University, Damghan, Iran.

tavallaie@du.ac.ir